Motions

1:07-CV-00616-MHT-SRW Records V. DSI SeCurity Services et 9/ U.S. DETRICT ALL MIDDLE DISTRICT ALL

Motion FOR ORDER Compelling Part To Answer Interrogatories Plaintiff moves the Court for an order Compelling Defendant American Building Company to answer Interrogatories NOS. 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 heretofore served on detendant by Plaintiff 4 of which de tendent has failed to Answer. The Squestion is a New ONC. A Copy of the interrogatories Propounded and of detendent answers and failure to answel them is attacked AS Exhibit A.

Some questions has been slightly modified or gramatically corrected (1,2); should be Yerox Copies

Questions

- (1) Plant worker Salarics for period 2001-2007 listed by race.
- (2) Contract amount paid to ESI for period 2001-2007. Contract Period of each contract.
- (3) Raises given to plant employees and amount for period 2001-2007.

(4) Names, addresses, telephone numbers of all

Person that will be questioned at trial and all persons

likely to have information about said acts of

discriminations; a transcript of pertinent portion of

deposition testimony; documents that will be presented.

Summeries of other evidence that will be presented.

Summeries of other evidence that will be presented.

5) Was there any compensation, Salary, or other

(5) Was there any compensations, Jakery, or own.

Payment made to Delmar Jones other than amount

Paid by Contract to DSI? What was the compensation

and amount?

Case 1:07-cv-00616-MHT-SRW Document 64 Filed 01/03/2008 Page 4 of 5

Logul Suer 12/31/07
B-12 Chath. Court
Lutaula, ALA. 36027

## Certificate of Service

I hereby certify on DEC, 29, 2007 I
filed with the Clerk of the Court and a Copy
of this Document was mailed pastage paid to:

Jackson Lewis LLP
Park Place Towers Suite 650
2001 Park Place North
Birming Lam, ALABAMA 35203

Nelson Mallins Riley

St. Scarbroug & ILP

A Tlanta Station 201174 Street,

N. W. Suite 1700

ATLANTA, GA 30363-1017

Tiger Leever

Danielle J. HAYOT

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

Office of Legal Counsel

1801 L Street N.W

Washing ton, D.C. 20507 May Survey

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA

ROGER REEVES,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) CIVIL ACTION FILE ) NO. 1:07-CV-616-MHT-SRW
DSI SECURITY SERVICES, AMERICAN BUILDINGS, INC., and EEOC, Defendants.	)

## <u>DEFENDANT AMERICAN BUILDINGS COMPANY'S</u> REPLIES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S INTERROGATORIES

Defendant American Buildings Company ("American Buildings"), misnamed as American Buildings, Inc. in the Complaint, hereby replies and objects to Plaintiff's Interrogatories, as follows:

Interrogatory No. 1: Plant worker salies (sic) (hourly) for period 2001-2007 listed by race.

Response: American Buildings objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague, overbroad in temporal scope, and unduly burdensome, and that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Interrogatory No. 2: Contract amounts paid to DSI for period 2001-2007.

Response: American Buildings objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague, overbroad in temporal scope, and unduly burdensome, and that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

<u>Interrogatory No. 3:</u> Raises given to plant employees and amount for period 2001-2007.

Response: American Buildings objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague, overbroad in temporal scope, and unduly burdensome, and that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Interrogatory No. 4: Names, address, telephone numbers of all persons that will be questioned at trial and all person likely to have information about said acts of discriminations; a transcript of pertinent portions of deposition testimony; documents that will be presented. Summaries of other evidence that will be presented.

Response: American Buildings objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague in several respects, and unduly burdensome, and that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. American Buildings further objects that it is unaware of any "said acts of discrimination" against Plaintiff.

Without waiving its objections, American Buildings replies that no depositions have been taken in this case, and that in the event any depositions are

taken Plaintiff will have to pay the court reporter for copies of those transcripts, just as any other party would have to do. American Buildings further replies that it does not know at this time what evidence it will present at trial, in the event a trial takes place.

Served this 21st day of December, 2007.

Christopher S. Enloe

(ENL001)

Attorneys for American Buildings Company

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP

999 Peachtree Street, NE / 14th Floor

Atlanta, GA 30309-3964

(404) 817-6000 Telephone

(404) 817-6050 Facsimile